	ed States Patent a	nd Trademark Office	UNITED STATES DEPARTS United States Patent and T Address: COMMISSIONER OF P. Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uppto.gov	rademark Office	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/539,343	03/31/2000	Dean P. Macri	10559/154001/P7988	1434	
	590 07/24/2002 IARDSON, PC		EXAM	NER	
SUITE 500	A VILLAGE DRIVE		GOOD JOHNSO	GOOD JOHNSON, MOTILEWA	
SAN DIEGO, (	JA 92122		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2672		
		DATE MAILED: 07/24/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.

•

 $\mathbf{A}$ 

.

	4					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
· ·	09/539,343	MACRI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Motilewa A. Good-Johnson	2672				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fi te, cause the application to become ABANDC	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31</u>						
,, ,, , , , ,, ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , , ,, , ,, , , ,, , , ,, , , ,, , ,, , , ,, , , ,, , , ,, , ,, , , ,, , , ,, , , ,, , ,  ,, , , ,	his action is non-final.					
<ul> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> <li>Disposition of Claims</li> </ul>						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 March 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language pl 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	rovisional application has been	received.				
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) 📃 Notice of Infom	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

ļ

en i

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is responsive to the following communications: Application, filed on 03/31/2000; Preliminary Amendment A, filed on 10/18/2000.

2. Claims 1-30 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 9, 11, 18, 20, 27 and 29 are independent claims. Claims 1, 7, 9-11, 16, 18-20, 25, 27 and 29 have been amended.

3. The present title of the application is "Trimming Surfaces" (as originally filed).

## Drawings

4. The corrected or substitute drawings were received on 03/22/2001. These drawings are objected.

5. Figure 11 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stevens et al., U.S. Patent Number 5,701,404, "Method and System for Efficiently Trimming a Nurbs Surface with a Projected Curve", class 345/423, 12/23/1997.

As per independent claim 1, Stevens discloses defining trim regions on NURBS surfaces where a three-dimensional projected curve intersects the surface, and further discloses the trim regions in a U,V domain of the surface, col. 1, et seq.

With respect to dependent claim 2, Stevens discloses a surface after it has been trimmed, figure 3C.

With respect to dependent claim 3, Stevens discloses drawing the pixels only in a solid potion and not the trimmed portion, figure 3C.

With respect to dependent claim 4, Stevens discloses in figure 3B and figure 3C a first portion containing the trimmed region and a second portion containing the surface without the trimmed section.

With respect to dependent claim 5, Stevens discloses drawing the projection of the curve onto the surface in the U,V domain for the surface, figures 4 and 8.

With respect to dependent claim 6, Stevens discloses performing the curves projected onto surfaces in real time, col. 2, lines 20-27.

With respect to dependent claim 7, Stevens does not explicitly disclose drawing a material texture on the surface. However, it is inherent that while Stevens discloses performing trim regions in a U, V domain, which is known in the art as a texture domain, a material texture would be drawn on the surface.

With respect to dependent claim 8, Stevens discloses curves in a U,V domain defining the trim regions, col. 2, lines 30-49.

As per independent claim 9, Stevens discloses a NURBS surface trimmed by projecting closed 3D curves into the domain to define trim regions, and discloses a trim region defined as a hole or a boundary on the surface, col. 5, lines 15-44. Stevens further discloses an isometric wire frame of a NURBS surface showing boundaries defining trim regions determined by projecting a circle onto the surface, figure 4. With respect to dependent claim 10, Stevens does not explicitly disclose obtaining a material texture and drawing the material texture on the surface. However, it is inherent that while Stevens discloses performing trim regions in a U, V domain, which is known in the art as a texture domain, a material texture would be obtained and drawn on the surface.

As per independent claim 11, "an article comprising a computer-readable medium . . .", it is rejected based upon similar rational as above independent claim 1, Stevens also discloses executable computer instructions, col. 6, lines 1-15.

With respect to dependent claims 12-17 they are rejected based upon similar rational as above dependent claims 2-5, 7 and 8 respectively.

As per independent claim 18, "an article comprising a computer-readable medium . . .", it is rejected based upon similar rational as above independent claim 9, Stevens also discloses executable computer instructions, col. 6, lines 1-15.

With respect to dependent claim 19, it is rejected based upon similar rational as above dependent claim 10.

ſ

As per independent claim 20, "an apparatus for use in trimming . . .", it is rejected based upon similar rational as above independent claim 1, Stevens also discloses an apparatus containing a memory and a processor, col. 6, lines 1-15.

With respect to dependent claims 21-26 they are rejected based upon similar rational as above dependent claims 2-5, 7 and 8 respectively.

As per independent claim 27, "an apparatus . . .", it is rejected based upon similar rational as above independent claim 9, Stevens also discloses an apparatus comprising executable computer instructions, col. 6, lines 1-15.

With respect to dependent claim 28, it is rejected based upon similar rational as above dependent claim 10.

As per independent claim 29 and dependent claim 30, they are rejected based upon similar rational as above independent claim 1 and dependent claim 5.

## Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

5,363,478 Fiasconaro 345/441 11/1994

Method and apparatus for trimming b-spline descriptions of patches in a high performance three dimensional graphics system.

5,377,320 Abi-Ezzi et al. 345/502 12/1994

Method and apparatus for the rendering of trimmed NURB surfaces.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Motilewa A. Good-Johnson whose telephone number is (703) 305-3939. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Razavi can be reached on (703) 305-4713. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Motilewa A. Good-Johnson Examiner Art Unit 2672

mgj July 18, 2002

MICHAEL RAZAVI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000

Page 6